

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors. for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2024 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2024 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented. The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible e that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	18
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	39
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	57



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

OPB recognizes the importance and urgency of addressing climate change and other environmental and social issues, such as health and safety, labour and community relations, and diversity, equity and inclusion. We understand that we need to make significant and meaningful progress in this area and are committed to doing so. We believe companies and investment managers that effectively address environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues are more likely to improve shareholder value over the longer term – which in turn helps pension plan members.

Investment Management Corporation of Ontario (IMCO) manages pension fund assets on behalf of OPB, in accordance with its Responsible Investing Policy. IMCO considers material ESG factors when it manages our investments, including climate-related risks. We expect IMCO to not only integrate ESG considerations in the investment process, but also to influence investee companies through engagement and shareholder voting to improve corporate practices. We view proxy voting as an important tool to encourage companies and other enterprises to provide adequate disclosure to shareholders relating to ESG factors, policies and initiatives. IMCO, on OPB's behalf, exercises voting rights for all securities owned directly or indirectly by OPB, in accordance with its Proxy Voting Guidelines. We also expect IMCO to consider divesting from investments where insufficient action is taken to address material climate and environmental issues.

IMCO's ESG Screening Guideline outlines which categories of investments are screened out to better align their investment decisions with their values and risk tolerances.

The OPB Chief Investment Officer and the Investment Committee also oversee IMCO's adherence to OPB's Policy for Environmental, Social & Governance Investment Issues.

IMCO is committed to achieve a net-zero emissions overall portfolio (i.e. across all clients, including OPB) by 2050 or sooner.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards



OPB and IMCO continued to make progress on integrating ESG into our investment approach and strategies. We made particular progress in the areas of sustainable investing:

- Added thermal coal mining and arctic oil and gas production to the list of screened-out investments.
- IMCO made a US\$400 million investment in Northvolt, a European EV battery platform focused on the R&D, manufacturing and recycling of sustainable battery cells and systems.
- IMCO and its consortium partners completed the acquisition of NeXtWind Capital Ltd, a German renewable energy company specializing in acquiring and repowering onshore wind farms.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In the area of ESG communications, OPB has worked on publishing our inaugural ESG Report (which was released in early 2024), as well as updating our Policy for ESG Investment Issues and making it publicly viewable in 2024.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Chris Kautzky

Position

Chief Investment Officer

Organisation's Name

Ontario Pension Board

\bigcirc \land

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

∘ B



ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
001	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2023

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 2	CORE	N/A	00 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes

⊚ (B) No



ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 24,045,606,980.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

USD to CAD FX rate @ December 31, 2023: 1.3180



ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	>10-50%
(B) Fixed income	0%	>10-50%
(C) Private equity	0%	>10-50%
(D) Real estate	0%	>10-50%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	>10-50%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	>0-10%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	>0-10%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

(I) Other - (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM - Specify:

Cash, hedging and money market accounts



ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	>75%	>0-10%	>10-50%	0%	>10-50%
(B) Passive	>10-50%	>50-75%	>0-10%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	00 5, 00 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	0%	>75%
(B) Listed equity - passive	0%	>75%
(C) Fixed income - active	>0-10%	>75%
(D) Fixed income - passive	>75%	>10-50%
(E) Private equity	>75%	0%
(F) Real estate	>75%	0%
(G) Infrastructure	0%	>75%
(H) Hedge funds	0%	>75%



MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

>75%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(2) >0 to 10%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(1) 0%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(2) >0 to 10%
(E) Fixed income – private debt	(1) 0%
(F) Private equity	(2) >0 to 10%
(G) Real estate	(1) 0%
(H) Infrastructure	(2) >0 to 10%
(I) Hedge funds	(2) >0 to 10%



STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Fixed income - active	(4) Fixed income - passive	(5) Private equity
(A) Yes, through internal staff					
(B) Yes, through service providers					
(C) Yes, through external managers	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	0	o	0	0
	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastr	ucture (8) He	dge funds	(11) Other
(A) Yes, through internal staff					
(B) Yes, through service providers					
(C) Yes, through external managers	Ø	V			
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	0		•	•



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 9 HF	CORE	OO 5	00 9	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation have direct investments in listed equity across your hedge fund strategies?

o (A) Yes

lacksquare	(B)	No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive
(A) Yes, through internal staff		
(B) Yes, through service providers		
(C) Yes, through external managers		
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active	(12) 100%
(B) Listed equity - passive	(12) 100%



STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting

(H) Hedge funds

IMCO's investments are all holdings of shares of external funds (except for Active FX mandates), and as an LP or shareholder of the fund, IMCO does not own the underlying securities. IMCO does not interfere with the day-to-day management of the portfolio as the manager has full investment discretion.

(K) Other

Cash, hedging and other money market accounts

ESG INCORPORATION

EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when selecting external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	•	Ο
(C) Fixed income - active	•	Ο
(D) Fixed income - passive	•	0
(E) Private equity	•	Ο



(F) Real estate	•	0
(G) Infrastructure	•	0
(H) Hedge funds	•	0
(K) Other: Cash, hedging and money market accounts	0	•

EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when appointing external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers	
(A) Listed equity - active	•	o
(B) Listed equity - passive	•	o
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	•	0
(E) Private equity	•	0
(F) Real estate	•	0
(G) Infrastructure	•	0
(H) Hedge funds	•	0
(K) Other: Cash, hedging and money market accounts	0	



EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	•	0
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	•	0
(E) Private equity	•	0
(F) Real estate	•	0
(G) Infrastructure	•	0
(H) Hedge funds	•	0
(K) Other: Cash, hedging and money market accounts	o	•

ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12- 14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions and/or in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers.

Externally managed

(Z) Other



ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- o (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	•	O	0
(U) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - passive	•	0	o



(V) External manager selection,appointment and monitoring (SAM)fixed income - active	•	0	٥
(W) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - passive	•	ο	0
(X) External manager selection,appointment and monitoring (SAM)private equity	•	ο	0
(Y) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – real estate	•	o	0
(Z) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – infrastructure	•	o	0
(AA) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – hedge funds	0	ο	•

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	00 3, 00 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- \circ (A) Publish as absolute numbers



POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- **☑** (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☐ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- \square (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☑ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- \square (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☑ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☐ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☑ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues Specify:

As outlined in OPB's Policy for ESG Investment Issues, OPB believes that diversity and inclusion can encourage improved practices at investee companies and should be integrated by IMCO into its investment process.

o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/social-responsibility

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/environment

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/social-responsibility

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/governance

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

https://static1.imcoinvest.com/static-assets/pdf/ESG_IMCO-Investment-Guideline-Proxy-Voting-Guideline_FINAL.pdf

☑ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/climate

(H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/social-responsibility

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/publications/statement-of-investment-policies-and-procedures.pdf

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/exclusions

☑ (K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment Add link:

 $https://static1.imcoinvest.com/static-assets/pdf/ESG_IMCO-Investment-Guideline-Proxy-Voting-Guideline_FINAL.pdf$

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

https://static1.imcoinvest.com/static-assets/pdf/imco-stewardship-guideline.pdf

☑ (O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting



Add link:

https://www.opb.ca/investments/proxy-voting

o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- \square (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- \square (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- ☑ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☑ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- \square (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☑ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- ☑ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- o (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme



RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

	AUM coverage	
(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	(1) for all of our AUM	



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - © (0) > 30 % to 00 %
 - (7) >60% to 70%(8) >70% to 80%
 - (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (B) Fixed income

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (C) Private equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (D) Real estate

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%



- o (9) >80% to 90%
- o (10) >90% to <100%
- **(11) 100%**

☑ (E) Infrastructure

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (B) Passively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - (8) >70% to 80%(9) >80% to 90%
 - (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☑ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

The Board has delegated responsibility for operationalizing the Policy for ESG Investment Issues to the CEO. The CEO, in turn, has delegated to the CIO responsibility for overseeing the ESG program and for ensuring that the Board receives the reporting it requires on the program.

☑ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent

Specify:

The Investment Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving OPB's Policy for ESG Investment Issues and for monitoring its implementation. The Board reviews and approves the SIP&P annually and the Investment Committee reviews and recommends the SIP&P to the Board annually.

- \square (D) Head of department, or equivalent
- $\circ\,$ (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent		
☑			
	☑		
	☑		
	equivalent □		



(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)		
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues		
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold		
(H) Guidelines on exclusions		
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment		
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees		
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	Ø	
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	0	O

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

Describe how you do this:

All policy and regulatory engagement activities are reviewed by OPB's CEO office, pensions committee and/or investment committee.

- o (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

The Chief Investment Officer and the Managing Director, Investments

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers Specify:

Per the Investment Management Agreement between IMCO and OPB, IMCO is required to comply with OPB's Policy for ESG Investment Issues. OPB also spells out its expectations of how IMCO should invest the Public Sector Pension Plan's assets with respect to ESG issues in OPB's Policy for ESG Investment Issues.

 \circ (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

● (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Describe: (Voluntary)

 \circ (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

 (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- (1) KPIs are linked to compensation
- o (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- $\circ~$ (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

o (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)



EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

☑ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
☐ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
☐ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
□ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
☑ (E) Climate-related commitments
☐ (F) Progress towards climate–related commitments
☐ (G) Human rights—related commitments
☐ (H) Progress towards human rights—related commitments
\square (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
\square (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

,,, ,	Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) recommendations:
	(A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
	(B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
	(C) Yes, including all risk management–related recommended disclosures
	(D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
• ((E) None of the above
	Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement Add link(s):



https://www.opb.ca/investments/annual-reports/annual-reports-archive

- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☑ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☑ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- \square (E) Other elements
- o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☑ (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (C) We incorporate human rights—related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- □ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \circ (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process



STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	•	•	•	•	•
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	0	o	O	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- $\circ\hspace{0.2cm}$ (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (a) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- o (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9 HF, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement $\sqrt{}$ or creating a new one (B) Filing, co-filing, and/or П submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal (C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter (D) Voting against the re-election $\sqrt{}$ of one or more board directors (E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, $\sqrt{}$ e.g. lead independent director



(F) Divesting	
(G) Litigation	
(H) Other	
(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

- \square (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one
- \square (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- ☑ (C) Not investing
- \square (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- ☐ (E) Divesting
- \square (F) Litigation
- ☑ (G) Other

Specify:

IMCO has engaged with external managers to ask them to develop ESG processes that will enable them to engage at the underwriting stage.

o (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- \Box (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- $\circ~$ (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☑ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- ☑ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups Describe:

OPB undertakes advocacy efforts through direct submissions to government, regulators and employee groups; partnerships with our peer plans on matters of mutual concern and best practice sharing; and collaboration with industry organizations such as the Association of Canadian Pension Management (ACPM) and the Ontario Bar Association.

IMCO is a member of the Canadian Coalition for Good Governance (CCGG) and supports public policy submissions. IMCO is also a part of, and provided input to, the CSSB working group on Canadian Sustainability Disclosure Standards.

- \square (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- ☐ (E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

☑ (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions Add link(s):

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf

(B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers Add link(s):

https://ccgg.ca/regulatory-submissions/

https://www.acpm.com/advocacy

https://piacweb.org/site/publications/advocacy

o (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year



CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☑ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

IMCO conducts scenario analysis on our portfolio, where data allows, to determine impacts of physical and transition risks under different warming scenarios (e.g., 1.5°C, 2°C and 3°C scenarios) and different time horizons (e.g., 2030, 2050). IMCO has identified physical and transition risks such as fires, drought and flooding and those rising from policy, legal, technological and market changes driven by the shift to a low-carbon economy. Climate change also presents a range of opportunities including investments in low-carbon products, energy efficiency, adaptation measures and cleaner energy sources.

☑ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

IMCO conducts scenario analysis on our portfolio, where data allows, to determine impacts of physical and transition risks under different warming scenarios (e.g., 1.5°C, 2°C and 3°C scenarios) and different time horizons (e.g., 2030, 2050). IMCO has identified physical and transition risks such as fires, drought and flooding and those rising from policy, legal, technological and market changes driven by the shift to a low-carbon economy. Climate change also presents a range of opportunities including investments in low-carbon products, energy efficiency, adaptation measures and cleaner energy sources.

o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

IMCO is committed to achieving net zero by 2050 or earlier and has an interim target to reduce GHG emissions by 50% by 2030, from a 2019 baseline. IMCO's climate strategy focuses on four key areas: (i) capital deployment - where IMCO pursues climate-positive and transition investment opportunities; (b) portfolio management - where IMCO focuses on further integrating climate-related risks and opportunities into investment decision making processes, and continuously monitors climate risk across its portfolio. This is done by prioritizing partnerships with external managers with existing or intended net zero emitting strategies; (iii) asset ownership - IMCO drives climate action in its portfolio by engaging with portfolio companies and external managers on key issues related to climate; and (iv) climate guardrail - IMCO mitigates climate risk in its portfolio through climate guardrails, which will limit exposure to investments that are incompatible with a net zero future.

o (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

☐ (A) Yes	, using the Inevitable Polic	y Response Forecast Policy	/ Scenario (FPS)	or Required Policy	y Scenario (RPS	S)
-----------	------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------	--------------------	-----------------	----

☑ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

IMCO uses the REMIND-NGFS model

o (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

IMCO conducts a climate value at risk assessment pre-investment during ESG due diligence at security level and/or at a potential portfolio fund level to identify vulnerabilities to climate-related physical and policy level risks.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Climate value at risk assessment is integrated into an IMCO ESG risk assessment dashboard and IMCO's public equity portfolios' exposure to climate-related risks are assessed on a quarterly basis.

☑ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

IMCO engages with companies to drive net zero alignment and deploys capital towards climate solutions toward net zero.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Climate value at risk assessment is integrated into an IMCO ESG risk assessment dashboard and IMCO's public equity portfolios' exposure to climate-related risks are assessed on a quarterly basis.

o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments



 $[\]square$ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario

^{☐ (}C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

☑ (A) Exposure to physical risk

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

☑ (B) Exposure to transition risk

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- \square (C) Internal carbon price

☑ (D) Total carbon emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf

- ☐ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
- ☐ (F) Avoided emissions
- **☑** (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - $\circ\hspace{0.2cm}$ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf

☑ (J) Other metrics or variables

Specify:

Financed Emissions: IMCO measures portfolio carbon emissions in line with the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (PCAF) standard.

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf



o (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf

☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf

☑ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.imcoinvest.com/pdf/imco-esg-report-2022.pdf

o (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☑ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
\square (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
\square (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for
Institutional Investors
\square (E) The EU Taxonomy
\square (F) Other relevant taxonomies
\square (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
\square (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core
conventions
\square (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
☑ (J) Other international framework(s)
Specify:
Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
\square (K) Other regional framework(s)
\square (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
o (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?
☑ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
☐ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
\Box (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and
irremediable character
☑ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
\Box (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
\square (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
☐ (G) Other method
o (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

OVERALL APPROACH

EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(4) Fixed income (passive)
Organisation				
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	Ø	Ø	Ø	☑
People and Culture				
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Investment Process				
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø



(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	V	Ø	Ø	Ø
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	Ø	☑	Ø	Ø
Stewardship				
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	V	Ø	Ø	Ø
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	V	Ø	Ø	
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	V	Ø	Ø	V
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	Ø	Ø	Ø	V
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	Ø	☑	Ø	Ø
(O) Results of stewardship activities		V	Ø	Ø
Performance and Reporting				
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	V	Ø	Ø	Ø
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	V		Ø	
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	0	0	0	0



	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation			
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	Ø	Ø	Z
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	Ø	Ø	
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	Ø	Ø	Ø
People and Culture			
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment		Ø	
Investment Process			
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	Ø	Ø	Ø
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	Ø	V	Ø
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment		☑	☑
Stewardship			
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Z	Ø	Ø



(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	Ø	Ø	Ø
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	Ø		☑
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	Ø		☑
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	Ø		☑
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	Ø	Z	Z
(O) Results of stewardship activities	Ø	Z	Ø
Performance and Reporting			
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	Ø		☑
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø		☑
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	Ο	0	0

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

\Box (A) Incorporation	of their responsible	investment policy	into advisory services

- $\ \square$ (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- \Box (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- □ (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- ☐ (E) Other



- (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
- **●** (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

SELECTION

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	00 12, 00 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- o (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

APPOINTMENT

SEGREGATED MANDATES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 8	CORE	OO 5.2, OO 13, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Segregated mandates	4

Which responsible investment aspects do your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, explicitly include in clauses within your contractual agreements with your external investment managers for segregated mandates?

- ☑ (A) Their commitment to following our responsible investment strategy in the management of our assets Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
 - o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
 - o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (B) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their investment activities

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ (C) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their stewardship activities

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates



☑ (D) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their investment activities

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (E) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their stewardship activities

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (F) Exclusion list(s) or criteria

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (G) Responsible investment communications and reporting obligations, including stewardship activities and results Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
 - o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
 - o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (H) Incentives and controls to ensure alignment of interests

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- □ (I) Commitments on climate-related disclosure in line with internationally-recognised frameworks such as the TCFD
 □ (J) Commitment to respect human rights as defined in the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
 □ (K) Their acknowledgement that their appointment is conditional on the fulfilment of their agreed responsible investment commitments
- ☐ (L) Other
- o (M) We do not include responsible investment aspects in clauses within our contractual agreements with external investment managers for segregated mandates



MONITORING

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(4) Fixed income (passive)
Organisation				
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) Governance structure and senior level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)	Ø	Z	Z	Ø
People and Culture				
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø



experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)	✓		Ø	
Investment Process				
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)	Ø	Ø	Ø	
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)	Ø	Ø	Ø	
Performance and Reporting				
(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)	Ø		Ø	
(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø	Ø	Ø	
(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year	0	0	0	o



	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation			
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	Z	☑	☑
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	Z	☑	Ø
(C) Governance structure and senior level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)	Ø	☑	Ø
People and Culture			
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)	Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)	☑	☑	☑
Investment Process			



(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)	Ø		Ø
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)	☑		Ø
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)			☑
Performance and Reporting			
(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)	Ø	☑	Ø
(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø	☑	Ø
(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year	0	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(4) Fixed income (passive)
(A) At least annually	V	Ø	Ø	Ø



(C) On an ad hoc basis			
	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) At least annually	V	Ø	☑
(B) Less than once a year			
(C) On an ad hoc basis	☑	V	Ø

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 13	CORE	OO 8, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' stewardship practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(4) Fixed income (passive)
(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Ø	Ø	Ø	
(B) The degree of implementation of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Ø	Ø	Z	
(C) How they prioritise material ESG factors	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(D) How they prioritise risks connected to systematic sustainability issues				
(E) Their investment team's level of involvement in stewardship activities	Ø	Ø	Ø	



(C) How they prioritise material ESG factors	Ø		V	Ø
(B) The degree of implementation of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Ø			Ø
(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Ø		Ø	Ø
	(5) Private equity	(6) Re	al estate	(7) Infrastructure
(L) We did not monitor our external investment managers' stewardship practices during the reporting year	0	0	0	0
(K) Other				
(J) Whether they had an active role in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	Ø	Ø	Ø	
(I) Whether they participated in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	Ø	Ø	Ø	
(H) The deployment of their escalation process in cases where initial stewardship efforts were unsuccessful	☑	Ø	Ø	
(G) Whether they used a variety of stewardship tools and activities to advance their stewardship priorities	☑	Ø	Ø	
stewardship actions were fed back into the investment process and decisions	Ø	Ø	Ø	



(D) How they prioritise risks connected to systematic sustainability issues			
(E) Their investment team's level of involvement in stewardship activities	☑		Ø
(F) Whether the results of stewardship actions were fed back into the investment process and decisions	 ✓		Ø
(G) Whether they used a variety of stewardship tools and activities to advance their stewardship priorities	☑		Ø
(H) The deployment of their escalation process in cases where initial stewardship efforts were unsuccessful	☑		Ø
(I) Whether they participated in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	Ø	Ø	Ø
(J) Whether they had an active role in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	Ø	☑	Ø
(K) Other			
(L) We did not monitor our external investment managers' stewardship practices during the reporting year	0	0	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 14	CORE	OO 9, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

For the majority of your AUM in each asset class where (proxy) voting is delegated to external investment managers, which aspects of your external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (B) Whether their (proxy) voting decisions were consistent with their stewardship priorities as stated in their policy and with their voting policy, principles and/or guidelines (C) Whether their (proxy) voting decisions were consistent with their stated approach on the prioritisation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues (D) Whether their (proxy) voting track record was aligned with our stewardship approach and expectations (E) The application of their policy on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (where applicable) (F) Other (G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the reporting year		(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)
decisions were consistent with their stewardship priorities as stated in their policy and with their voting policy, principles and/or guidelines (C) Whether their (proxy) voting decisions were consistent with their stated approach on the prioritisation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues (D) Whether their (proxy) voting track record was aligned with our stewardship approach and expectations (E) The application of their policy on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (where applicable) (F) Other (G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the			
decisions were consistent with their stated approach on the prioritisation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues (D) Whether their (proxy) voting track record was aligned with our stewardship approach and expectations (E) The application of their policy on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (where applicable) (F) Other (G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the	decisions were consistent with their stewardship priorities as stated in their policy and with their voting policy, principles and/or		
track record was aligned with our stewardship approach and expectations (E) The application of their policy on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (where applicable) (F) Other (G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the	decisions were consistent with their stated approach on the prioritisation of risks connected to	☑	
on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (where applicable) (F) Other (G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the	track record was aligned with our stewardship approach and	☑	 ✓
(G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' or (proxy) voting practices during the	on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy)		
external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the	(F) Other		
	external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the	0	0



ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(4) Fixed income (passive)
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives	Ø	V	Ø	Ø
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review				
(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified				
(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination				
(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified				
(F) Other	V	V	Ø	V
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring	0	0	0	0



(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives (B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review (C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified (D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination (E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified (F) Other (G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring		(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
CC) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified	investment professionals, investment committee or other	Z	☑	Ø
to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified (D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination (E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified (F) Other (G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during	placement on a watch list or			
failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination (E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified (F) Other (G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during	to the external investment managers until any concerns have			
external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified (F) Other (G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during	failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of			
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during	external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any			
have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during	(F) Other	Ø	Ø	Ø
	have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during	0	O	0

(F) Other - Specify:

OPB's investment manager, IMCO, was appointed for a long mandate period (since July 10, 2017). OPB has an active working relationship with IMCO regarding ESG integration and responsible investing. As part of the IMA, OPB has the right to direct the sale of an asset if IMCO is not able to resolve concerns raised by OPB.



VERIFICATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(4) Fixed income (passive)
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process				
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party				
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(D) Other	Ø	V	Ø	Ø
(E) We did not verify the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices during the reporting year	0	0	0	0



	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process			
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party			
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance		Ø	Ø
(D) Other	Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) We did not verify the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices during the reporting year	0	0	O

(D) Other - Specify:

As part of OPB's monitoring process, there is active engagement between IMCO's Sustainable Investing team and OPB's CIO



CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- \Box (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- \square (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- \square (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - **(1)** the entire report
 - o (2) selected sections of the report
- \circ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

